Registered—No. M. 1175.

The Mysore Gazette.

Vol. 61.] PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY. [No. 35.

BANGALORE, THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 2, 1926.

PART II-A.

NOTIFICATIONS RELATING TO LOCAL SELF-GOVERNMENT.

MUNICIPAL AND LOCAL BOARDS.

No. L. 1539—*Ml.* 8-26-11, *dated* 28*th August* 1926.

Under Section 38 of the City of Mysore Improvement Regulation, the following rules are issued governing the fees leviable for notices of demand and warrants of distraint referred to in Section 45 of the said Regulation.

I. For every notice of demand, fees shall be levied at the following rates :—

(1) A fee of one anna if the amount due is less than Rs. 5.
(2) A fee of two annas if the amount due is notless than Rs. 5, but less than Rs. 10.
(3) A fee of four annas if the amount due is not less than Rs. 10, but less than Rs. 50.
(4) A fee of six annas if the amount due is not less than Rs. 50, but less than Rs. 100.
(5) A fee of eight annas if the amount due is Rs. 100 and over.

II. For every warrant of distraint, fees shall be levied at the following rates :—

(1) A fee of 4 annas if the amount distrained is less than Rs. 5.
(2) A fee of six annas if the amount distrained is not less than Rs. 5, but less than Rs. 10.
(3) A fee of eight annas if the amount distrained is not less than Rs. 10, but less than Rs. 25.
(4) A fee of rupee one if the amount distrained is not less than Rs. 25, but less than Rs. 50.
(5) A fee of rupees two if the amount distrained is not less than Rs. 50, but less than Rs. 75.
(6) A fee of rupees three if the amount distrained is not less than Rs. 75, but less than Rs. 100.
(7) A fee of rupees five if the amount distrained is Rs. 100 and over.

No. L. 1345—*L. B.* 41-25-154, *dated* 24*th August* 1926.

Under Section 48 (1) of the Land Acquisition Regulation, Government are pleased to withdraw from the acquisition of such of the lands in Madhavanahalli and Kantenahalli villages in the Yedatore Taluk which were declared in Government Notification No. L. 5474—L. B. 41-24-144, dated 28th January 1925, as required for the construction of the New Yedatore Town as they are no longer required for the purpose.

By Order,
B. NAGAPPA,
Secretary to Government,
Local and Legislative Departments.

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY.

No. 1.

PROCEEDINGS OF AN ADJOURNED GENERAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON SATURDAY THE 3RD JULY 1926 AT 8 A.M., IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER.

Members.

Present ...	... 15	Absent ...	... 15

Letter dated 2nd July 1926, from Mr. G. Paramasiviah, stating that he could not attend this meeting, was read and recorded.

DEFERRED SUBJECT.

1. To record the audit report relating to cash accounts and D. C. B. Statements for the months of July 1924 to December 1925.

Resolution.—Resolved that the audit report for the year 1924-25 of the Councillor auditors be passed and that if any observations calling for action have been made by the auditors, the report should be placed again before the Council with a report of action taken.

ORDINARY SUBJECTS.

(5) Government Order No. L. 70-19-20—Ml. 93-25-36, dated 21st May 1926, sanctioning an additional expenditure of Rs. 21,434 on well works, during the current year and stating that Government cannot make any subvention towards the works to improve water supply to the City of Bangalore, owing to the present financial stringency.

Resolution.—Resolved that the previous resolutions of the Council dated 9th February 1926 and 17th April 1926 in this matter be reaffirmed and the Government be requested to reconsider their order dated 21st May 1926, having regard to the fact that the estimates forwarded to the Municipal Council, were countersigned on the distinct understanding that the Municipality should pay only a moiety of the total cost of the emergency measures adopted for relieving distress in the City. The Municipal Council desire respectfully to point out that the estimates sanctioned now are on account of the capital cost of works undertaken by Government under extraordinary circumstances and as such it would be very hard on the Municipality to be asked to pay the full cost of the scheme when the Municipality are already heavily burdened on account of various financial commitments in respect of several schemes. Further resolved that, as these schemes are measures which should be classed as distress relief operations, for which Government have in the past been extending substantial help, the Municipal Council feel that they have a right to look forward to Government to take all these circumstances into consideration and accord sanction to the request of the Council in this behalf. The Municipal Council would further urge the fact that the Municipality has gone as far as it can, in undertaking to pay even a moiety of the cost and as such it should not be made to bear the whole cost, which would be neither in keeping with the general policy followed by Government in matters of this kind nor meet the requirements of this particular case.

6. To consider correspondence ending with letter dated 11th March 1926, from the Specialist in the Education of the Deaf and the Blind, requesting sanction for the payment of his Travelling Allowance Bill for June 1924, according to the Mysore Service Regulations.

Resolution.—Resolved that the Travelling Allowance admissible under the Mysore Service Regulations be sanctioned.